
 [Ginger Lee](#) That's not real tough to interpret **[Do you mean the “verbal” agreement of the written one “which the LWV Park Ridge has been using for years” – according to the LWVPR’s president?]**


3  
[Like](#) [6d](#)

 [Ginger Lee](#) Even if we were to give her a very generous benefit of doubt that she didn't understand the policies on taping, **[What are those “policies,” where are they published, how do you know Ms. Smolenski or her campaign were aware of them, and why hasn't the LWVPR president invoked them?]** it's all there for her to read. The honorable thing to do would be to apologize for the violation **[What “violation”?]** and pull it . It's still up on her page last I checked.


1  
[Like](#) [6d](#) · [Edited](#)

 [Chuck Stiffer](#) [Gareth Kennedy](#) are you saying she can't read now?

1  
[Like](#) [6d](#)

 [Mary Upson](#) Both campaigns signed an agreement, one in which LWV Park Ridge has been using for years. **[Where is the signed copy? Heck, where's even an unsigned copy?]** This had never been an issue before. Smolenski's spokesperson **[Name?]** stated **[When, where and to whom?]** that the video would used for internal purposes only and agreed to share the video with LWVPR. Phone and emails **[When? How about producing copies of those “emails”?]** by our VP **[Name?]** to the campaign **[To whom, specifically?]** were ignored for three weeks following, the campaign has never returned her calls or emails.


7  
[Like](#) [6d](#)

 [Ginger Lee](#) Unbelievable. So much for your line of defense, Gareth. It was even in writing. 😞. **[What writing...the as-yet un-produced “emails”?]** That's awful.


1  
[Like](#) [6d](#)

 [Ginger Lee](#) [Mary Upson](#) you should repost that info in the main thread so it doesn't get lost

2  
[Like](#) [6d](#)

 [Chuck Stiffer](#) [Gareth Kennedy](#) there is ample evidence that shows there was more than a verbal agreement do you disagree with that? **[Is that “ample evidence” the as-yet un-produced “signed... agreement” of the form the “LWV Park Ridge has been using for years”? Or the as-yet un-produced “emails” from the unidentified LWVPR “VP” to an unidentified person at the Smolenski “campaign”? Or the LWV “principles” and “policies” that even the LWVPR president hasn't asserted as governing the debate or even disclosed to the candidates or their campaigns?]**

[Like](#) [5d](#)

 [Ginger Lee](#) Unethical. If this is the level of integrity exhibited in the campaign stage, I don't trust this person to adhere to ethical principles in office. Fail. **[What “level of integrity”? At this point there's not a shred of evidence that the LWVPR's president has just made all of this stuff up.]**





[Mary Upson](#) Both campaigns signed an agreement, one in which LWV Park Ridge has been using for years. **[Where's the signed copy, or even an unsigned copy?]** This had never been an issue before. Smolenski's spokesperson **[Who/name?]** stated **[When, where and to whom?]** that the video would used for internal purposes only and agreed to share the video with LWVPR. Phone and emails **[When? How about producing copies of the "emails"?]** by our VP **[Who is that?]** to the campaign **[To whom at the "campaign"?]** were ignored for three weeks following, the campaign has never returned her calls or emails. This Letter to Editor was written before the commercial was posted to Marilyn Smolenski's facebook page. I was finally able to get in touch with the contact person **[And who might that be?]**, I asked that it be removed. It's still there. It is now being aired on TV. Our organization has been in existence for nearly 100 years. The Park Ridge League has been hosting forums for decades. Whether Liberty Principles PAC acted on their own **[In doing what, exactly? Did Liberty Principles PAC make the video? If so, how is the Smolenski Campaign responsible for that when, by law, it is not supposed to coordinate activity with PACs of any kind?]** or if the campaign was involved, this breach of trust **[By whom: Ms. Smolenski personally, or some named individual formally a part of her campaign, or someone with IOP, or someone with Liberty Principles PAC, or yet another un-named political operative?]** hurts.

[10](#)  
[Like](#) [6d](#)



[Steve Paschos](#) I'm sorry to say but is this not the new norm? Will there be a course correction in the country when we restore dignity to the highest office in the land? I hope so but I have my doubts and unfortunately I'm afraid it's spreading reactionary to the other side (which I consider myself part of but also criticize). We can call it trickle down ethics or just what it is ... horrible role modeling and setting the poorest standard. **[What about the "horrible role modeling" of a Maine Twp. trustee who apparently made up a sexual harassment charge, or the LWVPR president who can't seem to tell the truth about something as simple as whether the alleged "agreement" concerning debate videotaping was "verbal" or written and "signed"? Not so much?]**

[2](#)  
[Like](#) [6d](#)



[Mary Wynn Ryan](#) Hopefully, this breach of trust **[Thanks, MWR, we knew you wouldn't let a fabricated dispute go un-exploited!]** will hurt the results of those who pulled this stunt. Lots of old-fashioned, decent precedents have been violated in in the past few years in the name of some perverse version of liberty, and hopefully the voters will make it clear they're not buyin' it.

[Like](#) [5d](#) · [Edited](#)



[Mary Upson](#) The commercial has now been removed from the campaign facebook page.

[7](#)  
[Like](#) [6d](#)



[Alice Dobrinsky](#) After all the posting here. IMAGINE THAT. Testing the boundaries, testing the boundries....

[2](#)  
[Like](#) [6d](#) · [Edited](#)



[Mary Upson](#) Hopefully it will no longer air on TV or on other Facebook pages in its current form.

[2](#)