

Begin forwarded message:

From: Joseph Egan •
Date: June 3, 2016 at 9:09:36 AM CDT
To: Daniel Knight <knightdanieli@yahoo.com>
Cc: "'martymaloney@gmail.com'" <martymaloney@gmail.com>
Subject: My attendance at Board meetings

I understand certain individuals are trying to prevent me from being re-appointed to the Library Board based on "attendance". As I presented in my interview, I have participated in 83% of all meetings, some of which were via conference call. My detractors fail to recognize that participating via phone is attending. As you know in this day and age meetings via a conference call is common and prevalent in our society. I probably attend 10 meetings a week or more via a conference call. I don't believe the form they cite defines "attendance". I would suspect every alderman "attends" meetings in their day jobs via a conference call weekly. If physical attendance is the criteria, I suggest that be made clear in the future.

FYI, in the past year I have travelled for work more than historically and travel in my line of business can be unpredictable. I always try to attend unless I am on a plane or in a meeting. Some of my travel is out west and 7 pm CST is still normal business hours out west.

I note that some of the individuals who signed the letter are the same ones who were happy with and approved deficit spending and were just fine with closing the Library on Sundays, which I fought against. I hope to serve another 3 years. I will also note that 6 other Board members independently sought me out and urged that I re-apply for the Board. One of the other 2 did not know my term was up.