




-  **Joan Sandrik Tom Sotos** it might have been a premature had not the board been down this road just a couple of years ago with the Paterno resignation. The procedures are pretty straightforward to my mind and having been done as recently as 2 years ago shouldn't take much to get things in place. Post the vacancy, accept applications, schedule interviews (in an open forum), deliberate (in an open forum), make the selection (in an open forum), swear in the new board member, ba-bam. Done. There. Now what's so difficult about that?


▪ - 19h

-  **Tom Sotos Joan Sandrik** not difficult at all. How do you know that's not what was going to happen. Did Borrelli's say otherwise? Was there indication it wasn't going to happen that way?

▪ - 19h

-  **Joan Sandrik** Lack of response to inquire to Alice triggered this whole thing.


▪ - 19h

-  **Tom Sotos Joan Sandrik** the question was posed of the applications of the applicants would be made public before the meeting on the 29th.


▪ - 19h

-  **Joan Sandrik** So why not repond to the inquiry?

▪ - 19h

-  **Tom Sotos Joan Sandrik** that's exactly what I'm saying. He wasn't given an opportunity to consider the response. He can't unilaterally make that decision.

▪ - 19h


-  **Joan Sandrik** He could have said something, anything, even that he didn't know. Anything would have been better than ignoring!

▪ - 19h


-  **Tom Sotos** He could have said “I will look into it and get back to you”. Maybe that would have been more  
19h
-  **Ginger Lee** Bingo  
19h
-  **Joan Sandrik** Yes, it certainly would have! But that's not how he rolls!  
19h
-  **Ginger Lee** He didn't . Hence her direct and completely appropriate response. Get it now?  
19h
-  **Tom Sotos** **Joan Sandrik** if Alice May have waited a day or so to re ask. He may have given the answer and we would have avoided all this.  
19h
-  **Ginger Lee** How dare she ask a direct question ! The nerve!!  
19h
-  **Tom Sotos** **Ginger Lee** are you trying to say I'm stupid and I don't understand with your “get it now” comment. It's ok for you to be snarky but if anyone else does it they are being “something”.  
18h
-  **Tom Sotos** Wow. This entire thread just shows how we have all lost our way.  
18h

I am removing myself from this conversation and apologize for saying anything at all.

18h

-  **Joan Sandrik** We live in an era of instant gratification. We want answers now, not tomorrow or the next day. Had there been an answer of any kind this whole kerffuffle could have been avoided. Who needs to make the decision about whether or not the applications can be published on the district website (with addresses & phone numbers redacted as the PR City Council does)? Isn't there a board policy on such things? If not, perhaps a call to the board attorney for advice, do the applicants need to sign a waiver? I'm sure these questions can be quickly answered.

18h

-  **Tom Sotos** **Joan Sandrik** agreed but in no way could have been answered in the min following the first email. That's all I was trying to say.


And by the way. That's exactly what he was doing. Just was never given the opportunity to get it done.

Again. Joan you gave my number. I'd be glad to discuss this or anything else with you. Just not here anymore.

Seems like I'm the only board member willing to engage and I always get attacked for it.

So maybe I'll take a page out of Fred's book and just post updates. Seems like that sort of social media engagement is better received.

18h

-  **Ginger Lee** This. Wasn't. Shocking. News. To. Him. But okay. If that's the story we are going with. That explanation isn't even necessary to begin with. All he needed to do was say, "not sure yet. Will let know what board decides." Alice didn't deserve to be scolded for being direct. EOS.

18h

11.20.2018 @ 2:01 p.m.